

Can extended bodies follow geodesic orbits?

General Relativity and Gravitation 54: 113 (2022)

arXiv:1907.05659

Georgios Lukes-Gerakopoulos, Sajal Mukherjee

Astronomical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences

@ RAGtime 24, Opava, 12.10.2022



- “Precisely computing bound orbits of spinning bodies around black holes I and II” (arxiv:2201.13334,2201.13335) uses:
 - $P^\mu = mU^\mu$ assumption.
 - a two worldline approach (geodesic + spin corrected).
- Remaining strictly in the Mathisson “skeleton” framework, can
 - $P^\mu = mU^\mu$?
 - an extended body follow a geodesic orbit?

Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon (MPD) equations of a body

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{P}^\mu &= -\frac{1}{2}R^\mu{}_{\nu\alpha\beta}U^\nu S^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{6}J^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\nabla^\mu R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \\ \dot{S}^{\mu\nu} &= 2P^{[\mu}U^{\nu]} + \frac{4}{3}J^{\alpha\beta\gamma[\mu}R^{\nu]}{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta},\end{aligned}$$

To fix the center of the mass we need a Spin Supplementary Condition (SSC):

$$S^{\mu\nu}w_\mu = 0, \quad w^\mu w_\mu = -1.$$

w^μ is just a future pointing unit vector.

The Ohashi-Kyrian-Semerák (OKS) SSC

$$P^\mu = P_{\parallel}^\mu + P_{\text{hid}}^\mu,$$

$$P_{\parallel}^\mu = mU^\mu$$

$$P_{\text{hid}}^\mu = (\delta^\mu{}_\nu + U^\mu U_\nu)P^\nu$$

Choosing $\dot{w}^\mu = 0$ leads to $w_\mu \dot{S}^{\mu\nu} = 0$ and

$$P^\mu = \frac{1}{-w_\nu U^\nu} [(-P^\gamma w_\gamma)U^\mu + \frac{4}{3}J^{\alpha\beta\gamma[\mu}R^{\delta]}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}w_\delta].$$

For pole-dipole $P_{\text{hid}}^\mu = 0$ (Kyrian & Semerák, MNRAS (2007)).

For pole-dipole-quadrupole can $J^{\alpha\beta\gamma[\mu}R^{\delta]}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}w_\delta = 0$?

OKS going quadrupole

Assume that there is such a w^μ that $P^\mu = m\mathcal{U}^\mu$, then

$$\dot{P}^\mu = \dot{m}\mathcal{U}^\mu + m\dot{\mathcal{U}}^\mu$$

and from MPD

$$\dot{m} = \frac{1}{6} J^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \mathcal{U}_\mu \nabla^\mu R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}.$$

There is no guarantee even when $P^\mu \parallel \mathcal{U}^\mu$, that their derivatives will remain parallel.

$$\dot{S}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{3} J^{\alpha\beta\gamma[\mu} R^{\nu]}_{\gamma\alpha\beta} := K^{\mu\nu}$$

implies that $\dot{K}^{\mu\nu} w_\mu = 0$, $\ddot{K}^{\mu\nu} w_\mu = 0, \dots$

Schwarzschild

- Only geodesic radial motion is compatible with the MPD under OKS SSC in the pole-dipole approximation.
- The existence was known (Costa and Natário, Fund. Theor. Phys. (2015)), but not the uniqueness.

Kerr equatorial

- Only geodesics obeying $J_z = aE$ are compatible with the MPD under OKS SSC in the pole-dipole approximation.
- Existence and uniqueness provided for the first time.

In these cases OKS SSC centroid coincides with the Mathisson-Pirani SSC $S^{\mu\nu}U_\nu = 0$ and the Tulczyjew-Dixon $S^{\mu\nu}P_\nu = 0$.

Pole-dipole-(spin induced)quadrupole body on geodesics?

- Used spin induced quadrupole

$$J^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -3w^{[\alpha}Q^{\beta][\gamma}w^{\delta]}, \text{ where } Q^{\beta\gamma} = C_{S^2}S^\beta_\alpha S^{\alpha\gamma}.$$

- Imposed geodesic trajectories compatible with the pole-dipole cases in Schwarzschild and Kerr.
- Chosen w^μ such that $J^{\alpha\beta\gamma[\mu}R^{\delta]}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}w_\delta = 0$ implying $P_{\text{hid}}^\mu = 0$.

We have shown that the above setup is not compatible with a pole-dipole-(spin induced)quadrupole body.

Bending the rules

- Assume there is no interaction between the dipole and the quadrupole terms; the body has only mass quadrupole and it is moving on Schwarzschild background.
- From the pole-dipole we know that the body can move on a radial geodesic. This leads to $\dot{S}^{\mu\nu} = K^{\mu\nu} = 0$, which implies that $K^{\mu\nu} w_\nu = 0 \forall w^\nu$, i.e. the hidden momentum vanishes.
- The vanishing spin quadrupole coupling leads to
$$\dot{m} \mathcal{U}^\mu = -\frac{1}{6} J^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \nabla^\mu R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}.$$
- All the above boils down to ...

...couplings between $Q^{\mu\nu}$ and w^μ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^{tr} &= \frac{1}{2w^t w^r} (Q^{rr} [w^t]^2 + Q^{tt} [w^r]^2) \\
 &\quad + \frac{rQ^{\theta\theta}}{2(r-2M)w^t w^r} \left\{ -(r-2M)^2 [w^t]^2 + r^2 [w^r]^2 \right\}, \\
 Q^{t\theta} &= \frac{Q^{r\theta} w^t}{w^r}, \quad Q^{\theta\phi} = \frac{Q^{r\theta} w^\phi}{w^r}, \\
 Q^{t\phi} &= \frac{Q^{r\phi} w^t}{w^r} + \frac{w^\phi}{2w^t [w^r]^2} \left\{ Q^{tt} [w^r]^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - Q^{rr} [w^t]^2 - \frac{rQ^{\theta\theta} [r^2 (w^r)^2 + (2M-r)^2 (w^t)^2]}{2M-r} \right\}, \\
 Q^{\phi\phi} &= \frac{1}{[w^r]^2} \left[Q^{\theta\theta} ([w^r]^2 + (r-2M)[w^\phi]^2) + w^\phi (2Q^{r\phi} w^r - Q^{rr} w^\phi) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The MPD do not evolve the quadrupole tensor, but the above constrains dictate the way the mass quadrupole tensor evolves under OKS SSC.

Bending the rules in Kerr

Similarly as in Schwarzschild, but for $J_z = aE$ trajectories in Kerr, using the Carter tetrad field we end up with

$$Q^{(t)(r)} = \frac{Q^{(r)(r)}[w^{(t)}]^2 + Q^{(t)(t)}[w^{(r)}]^2 + Q^{(\theta)(\theta)}(-[w^{(t)}]^2 + [w^{(r)}]^2)}{2w^{(t)}w^{(r)}},$$
$$Q^{(t)(\theta)} = \frac{Q^{(r)(\theta)}w^{(t)}}{w^{(r)}}, \quad Q^{(\theta)(\phi)} = \frac{Q^{(r)(\theta)}w^{(\phi)}}{w^{(r)}},$$
$$Q^{(t)(\phi)} = \frac{Q^{(r)(\phi)}w^{(t)}}{w^{(r)}} + \frac{w^{(\phi)}}{2w^{(t)}[w^{(r)}]^2} \{ Q^{(t)(t)}[w^{(r)}]^2 - Q^{(r)(r)}[w^{(t)}]^2 + Q^{(\theta)(\theta)}([w^{(t)}]^2 + [w^{(r)}]^2) \},$$
$$Q^{(\phi)(\phi)} = \frac{1}{[w^{(r)}]^2} \left[Q^{(\theta)(\theta)}([w^{(r)}]^2 + [w^{(\phi)}]^2) + w^{(\phi)}(2Q^{(r)(\phi)}w^{(r)} - Q^{(r)(r)}w^{(\phi)}) \right].$$

Conclusions

- In the pole-dipole case there seems to be only few types of geodesic trajectories in Kerr ($J_z = aE$) that can be followed by an extended body.
- Ohashi-Kyrian-Semerák SSC vanishing hidden momentum feature in pole-dipole approximation can be retrieved in the pole-dipole-quadrupole approximation.
- We failed to find a pole-dipole-(spin induced)quadrupole body setup able to follow a geodesic orbit in Schwarzschild and Kerr.
- Assuming the dipole and the quadrupole components vanish independently on given geodesic trajectories, we get constraints between the mass quadrupole and the the reference vector w^μ . This implies that w^μ has to dictate the evolution of the mass quadrupole through the constraints.

Thank you for your attention!